arXiv:1608.07931v1 [math.DS] 29 Aug 2016

POLYGONAL NEGATIVE HYPERBOLIC ROTOPULSATORS OF THE CURVED n-BODY PROBLEM.

PIETER TIBBOEL

Abstract. For the n-body problem in spaces of negative constant Gaussian curvature, we prove for a class of negative hyperbolic rotopulsators that if that class exists, the configurations of the point masses of these rotopulsators have to be regular polygons if the rotopulsators are not relative equilibria. Additionally, we prove that if the rotopulsators are relative equilibria, there exists at most one such solution.

1. Introduction

By n-body problems we mean problems where we are to determine the dynamics of a number of n point masses as dictated by a system of ordinary differential equations. The n-body problem in spaces of constant Gaussian curvature, or curved n-body problem for short, is described by a system of differential equations (see (2.1)) that generalises the classical, or Newtonian n-body problem to spaces of constant Gaussian curvature. Solutions to an n-body problem where the point masses describe a configuration that maintains the same shape and size over time are called relative equilibria. A rotopulsator, or rotopulsating orbit is a solution of the curved n-body problem for which the shape of the configuration of the point masses stays the same over time, but the size may change. An important reason to study the curved n-body problem, and relative equilibria and rotopulsators in particular, is to identify orbits that are unique to a particular space (see [10]). For example: Diacu, Pérez-Chavela and Santoprete (see [11], [12]) showed that rotopulsators (called homographic orbits in those papers) that have an equilateral triangle configuration and unequal masses, only exist in spaces of zero curvature. As the Sun, Jupiter and the Trojan asteroids form the vertices of an equilateral triangle, the region between these three objects likely has zero curvature. Rotopulsators were first introduced in [10], where it was proven that there are five different types of rotopulsators, two for the positive curvature case (spheres) and three for the negative curvature case (hyperboloids). For subclasses of these five different types it was proven in [16] for $n = 4$ that if the rotopulsators have rectangular configurations, they have to be squares. For two of these five subclasses it was proven in [28] for general n that if the configuration forms a polygon and the rotopulsator is

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 70F10.

Key words and phrases. n-body problems, curved n-body problem, celestial mechanics.

not a relative equilibrium, that polygon has to be a regular polygon. A logical avenue of research then is to investigate to what extent we can generalise the remaining three results from [16]. In this paper we will generalise the results for two of these three remaining classes, the so-called negative hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsators and negative elliptic hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsators (see [10], [16] and Definition 2.2). Specifically, we will prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $q_1, ..., q_n$ be a negative hyperbolic, or negative elliptic hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator, as in Definition 2.2. If ρ is not constant, then the q_i, $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ are the vertices of a regular polygon. If ρ is constant, then the q_i, $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ are the vertices of a unique polygonal relative equilibrium.*

Remark 1.2. Because of the proof of Theorem 1.1, the rotopulsators in this paper are in fact the rotopulsators that were investigated in [28]. It was proven in [28] and in [4] (in the latter paper they were called 'homographic orbits' instead of 'rotopulsators') that these rotopulsators exist.

Remark 1.3. In [16], in Theorem 6 and Theorem 7, it was stated that for $n = 4$ rectangular negative hyperbolic rotopulsators and rectangular negative elliptic hyperbolic rotopulsators do not exist. These statements are not in conflict with Theorem 1.1, as the third and fourth coordinates of the point masses of the negative hyperbolic rotopulsators in [16] are constructed to be coordinates of distinct points on a hyperbola, while we do not impose that restriction in this paper.

The curved n-body problem goes back as far as the 1830s with Bolyai and Lobachevsky, who independently proposed a curved 2-body problem in hyperbolic space (see [1] and [24]). While of significant interest to great mathematicians such as Dirichlet, Schering (see [25], [26]), Killing (see [17], [18], [19]), Liebmann (see [21], [22], [23]), Kozlov, Harin (see [20]), Cariñena, Rañada and Santander (see [2]), a working model for the $n \geq 2$ case was not found until 2008 by Diacu, Pérez-Chavela and Santoprete (see [11], [12] and [13]). This breakthrough then gave rise to further results for the $n \geq 2$ case in [3]–[7], [9], [10], [15], [16], [27]–[30] and [32].

The remainder of this paper is constructed as follows: In section 2 we will discuss needed background theory, after which we will prove Theorem 1.1 in section 3.

2. Background theory

Definition 2.1. Let $\sigma = \pm 1$. The n-body problem in spaces of constant Gaussian curvature is the problem of finding the dynamics of point masses

$$q_1, ..., \ q_n \in \mathbb{M}^3_\sigma = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \sigma x_4^2 = \sigma\},$$

with respective masses $m_1 > 0$,..., $m_n > 0$, determined by the system of differential equations

$$\ddot{q}_i = \sum_{j=1,\ j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j(q_j - \sigma(q_i \odot q_j)q_i)}{(\sigma - \sigma(q_i \odot q_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} - \sigma(\dot{q}_i \odot \dot{q}_i)q_i,\ i \in \{1, ...,\ n\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where for x, $y \in \mathbb{M}^3_\sigma$ the product $\cdot \odot \cdot$ is defined as

$$x \odot y = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + \sigma x_4y_4.$$

Next, we will define negative hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsators and negative elliptic-hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsators: Let

$$T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos x & -\sin x \\ \sin x & \cos x \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh x & \sinh x \\ \sinh x & \cosh x \end{pmatrix}$$

be 2×2 matrices. Then

Definition 2.2. If $\sigma = -1$ and there exist scalar, twice differentiable functions x_i, y_i, $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$, ϕ, $\rho \geq 0$, for which $x_i^2 + y_i^2 - \rho^2 = -1$ and there exist constants $\beta_1, ..., \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for a solution q_1,...,q_n of (2.1) we have that

$$q_i(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_i(t) \\ y_i t \\ \rho(t)S(\phi(t)) \begin{pmatrix} \sinh(\beta_i) \\ \cosh(\beta_i) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},\ i \in \{1, ..., n\}, \tag{2.2}$$

and the q_1,...,q_n lie on a polygon, then we call q_1,...,q_n a *negative hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator*. If there exist twice differentiable functions θ, $r \geq 0$, $r^2 - \rho^2 = -1$ and constants $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n \in [0, 2\pi]$, such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_i(t) \\ y_i(t) \end{pmatrix} = T(\theta(t)) \begin{pmatrix} \sin(\alpha_i) \\ \cos(\alpha_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

then we call q_1,...,q_n a *negative elliptic-hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator*.

We will need the following lemma, which was proven in a more general setting in [10], but as the proof for our particular case is not particularly long, we give a proof here as well:

Lemma 2.3. *If q_1,...,q_n is a negative hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator, or a negative elliptic-hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator with functions ρ and ϕ as in Definition 2.2, then $2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'' = 0$.*

Proof. Using the wedge product, it was proven in [5] that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i q_i \wedge \ddot{q}_i = \mathbf{0},$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero bivector. If e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 are the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{R}^4$, then

$$0e_3 \wedge e_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(q_{i3}\ddot{q}_{i4} - q_{i4}\ddot{q}_{i3})e_3 \wedge e_4. \tag{2.3}$$

As $q_{i3} = \rho\cosh(\beta_i + \phi)$ and $q_{i4} = \rho\sinh(\beta_i + \phi)$ by Definition 2.2, we have that

$$q_{i3}\ddot{q}_{i4} - q_{i4}\ddot{q}_{i3} = \det\begin{pmatrix} q_{i3} & \ddot{q}_{i3} \\ q_{i4} & \ddot{q}_{i4} \end{pmatrix} = \det\left(\rho\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix} \quad \left(\rho\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix}\right)''\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\rho\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix}\right)'' &= \rho''\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix} + 2\rho'\phi'\begin{pmatrix}\sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \rho\phi''\begin{pmatrix}\sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix} + \rho(\phi')^2\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

using that the determinant of a matrix with two identical rows is zero, we can rewrite (2.4) as

$$\begin{aligned}q_{i3}\ddot{q}_{i4} - q_{i4}\ddot{q}_{i3} &= 0 + \rho\left(2\rho'\phi' + \phi''\right)\det\begin{pmatrix}\cosh(\beta_i+\phi) & \sinh(\beta_i+\phi)\\ \sinh(\beta_i+\phi) & \cosh(\beta_i+\phi)\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \rho\left(2\rho'\phi' + \phi''\right)\cdot(-1).\end{aligned}$$

So combined with (2.3), we get

$$0e_3 \wedge e_4 = -\rho\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i(2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'')e_3 \wedge e_4 = -\rho(2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'')\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i e_3 \wedge e_4,$$

giving that indeed $2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'' = 0$. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let q_1,...,q_n be a negative hyperbolic polygonal rotopulsator as in Definition 2.2. Let I be the 2×2 identity matrix. Then inserting (2.2) into (2.1) and multiplying both sides of the resulting system of equations for the third and fourth coordinates of q_i from the left with $S(\phi + \beta_i)^{-1}$ gives, as now $\sigma = -1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(\rho''I + (2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'')\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} + \rho(\phi')^2 I -\right)\rho\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j\rho\left(\begin{pmatrix}\sinh(\beta_j-\beta_i)\\ \cosh(\beta_j-\beta_i)\end{pmatrix} + (q_i \odot q_j)\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix}\right)}{((q_i \odot q_j)^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ &+ ((x_i')^2 + (y_i')^2 - (\rho')^2 + \rho^2(\phi')^2)\rho\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\left(\rho'' I + (2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'')\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix} + \rho(\phi')^2 I - ((x_i')^2 + (y_i')^2 - ((\rho')^2 + \rho^2(\phi')^2))I\right)\rho\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j \rho\left(\begin{pmatrix}\sinh(\beta_j - \beta_i)\\ \cosh(\beta_j - \beta_i)\end{pmatrix} + (q_i \odot q_j)\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\right)}{((q_i \odot q_j)^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Collecting terms for the first coordinate on both sides of (3.1) gives

$$2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'' = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j \rho \sinh(\beta_j - \beta_i)}{((q_i \odot q_j)^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $2\rho'\phi' + \rho\phi'' = 0$, so

$$0 = \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \frac{m_j \rho \sinh(\beta_j - \beta_i)}{((q_i \odot q_j)^2 - 1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now let $\beta_1 = \min\{\beta_j | j \in \{1, ..., n\}\}$. Then $\sinh(\beta_j - \beta_1) \geq 0$ and $\sinh(\beta_j - \beta_1) = 0$ if and only if $\beta_j = \beta_1$, so as $(\sigma - \sigma(q_i \odot q_j)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} > 0$, for (3.2) to hold, all β_j have to be equal to β_1. This means that q_{i3} and q_{i4} are independent of i. Therefore, as the q_i are vertices of a polygon, we have that q_{i1} and q_{i2} are coordinates of vertices of a polygon that lie on a circle of radius $r = \sqrt{\rho^2 - 1}$, which means that by Theorem 1.1 of [28] that if ρ and therefore r is not constant, the q_i are the vertices of a regular polygon. If ρ is constant, then q_1,...,q_n is a polygonal relative equilibrium solution and by Theorem 1.2 of [31] there exists at most one such solution. This completes the proof.

References

[1] W. Bolyai and J. Bolyai, Geometrische Untersuchungen, Hrsg. P. Stäckel, Teubner, Leipzig-Berlin, 1913.

[2] J.F. Cariñena, M.F. Rañada and M. Santander, Central potentials on spaces of constant curvature: The Kepler problem on the two-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005), 052702.

[3] F. Diacu, On the singularities of the curved n-body problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2249–2264.

[4] F. Diacu, Polygonal homographic orbits of the curved n-body problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** 5 (2012), 2783–2802.

[5] F. Diacu, Relative equilibria in the 3-dimensional curved n-body problem, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **228** 1071, (2013).

[6] F. Diacu, Relative Equilibria of the Curved N-Body Problem, Atlantis Studies in Dynamical Systems, vol. 1, Atlantis Press, Amsterdam, 2012.

[7] F. Diacu, The non-existence of centre-of-mass and linear-momentum integrals in the curved n-body problem, arXiv:1202.4739, 12 p.

[8] F. Diacu, The curved N-body problem: risks and rewards, Math. Intelligencer **35** (2013), no. 3, 24–33.

[9] F. Diacu, The classical N-body problem in the context of curved space, Canad. J. Math. (to appear).

[10] F. Diacu, S. Kordlou, Rotopulsators of the curved N-body problem, J. Differ. Equations **255** (2013), 2709–2750.
[11] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature, arXiv:0807.1747, 54 p.
[12] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part I: Relative equilibria, J. Nonlinear Sci. **22** (2012), no. 2, 247–266.
[13] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela and M. Santoprete, The n-body problem in spaces of constant curvature. Part II: Singularities, J. Nonlinear Sci. **22** (2012), no. 2, 267–275.
[14] F. Diacu, E. Pérez-Chavela, M. Santoprete, Central configurations and total collisions for quasihomogeneous n-body problems, Nonlinear Analysis **65** (2006), 1425–1439.
[15] F. Diacu, S. Popa, All the Lagrangian relative equilibria of the curved 3-body problem have equal masses, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), 112701.
[16] F. Diacu, B. Thorn, Rectangular orbits of the curved 4-body problem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), 1583–1593.
[17] W. Killing, Die Rechnung in den nichteuklidischen Raumformen, J. Reine Angew. Math. **89** (1880), 265–287.
[18] W. Killing, Die Mechanik in den nichteuklidischen Raumformen, J. Reine Angew. Math. **98** (1885), 1–48.
[19] W. Killing, Die Nicht-Eukildischen Raumformen in Analytischer Behandlung, Teubner, Leipzig, 1885.
[20] V.V. Kozlov and A.O. Harin, Kepler's problem in constant curvature spaces, Celestial Mech. Dynam. Astronom. **54** (1992), 393–399.
[21] H. Liebmann, Die Kegelschnitte und die Planetenbewegung im nichteuklidischen Raum, Berichte Königl. Sächsischen Gesell. Wiss., Math. Phys. Klasse **54** (1902), 393–423.
[22] H. Liebmann, Über die Zentralbewegung in der nichteuklidische Geometrie, Berichte Königl. Sächsischen Gesell. Wisse., Math. Phys. Klasse **55** (1903), 146–153.
[23] H. Liebmann, Nichteuklidische Geometrie, G.J. Göschen, Leipzig, 1905; 2nd ed. 1912; 3rd ed. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin Leipzig, 1923.
[24] N.I. Lobachevsky, The new foundations of geometry with full theory of parallels [in Russian], 1835-1838, In Collected Works, V. 2, GITTL, Moscow, 1949, p. 159.
[25] E. Schering, Die Schwerkraft im Gaussischen Räume, Nachr. Königl. Gesell. Wiss. Göttingen, 13 July, (1873), no. 15, 311–321.
[26] E. Schering, Die Schwerkraft in mehrfach ausgedehnten Gaussischen und Riemmanschen Räumen, Nachr. Königl. Gesell. Wiss. Göttingen, **26** February, 6 (1873), 149–159.
[27] P. Tibboel, Polygonal homographic orbits in spaces of constant curvature, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 1465–1471.
[28] P. Tibboel, Existence of a class of rotopulsators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **404** (2013), 185–191.
[29] P. Tibboel, Existence of a lower bound for the distance between point masses of relative equilibria in spaces of constant curvature, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **416** (2014), 205–211.
[30] P. Tibboel, Existence of a lower bound for the distance between point masses of relative equilibria for generalised quasi-homogeneous n-body problems and the curved n-body problem, J. Math. Phys. (2015) **56**, 032901.
[31] P. Tibboel, Finiteness of polygonal relative equilibria for generalised quasi-homogeneous n-body problems and n-body problems in spaces of constant curvature, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **441** (2016), 183-193.
[32] S. Zhu, Eulerian relative equilibria of the curved 3-body problems in $\mathbf{S}^2$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014), 2837–2848.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, China

E-mail address: Pieter.Tibboel@xjtlu.edu.cn